

April 13, 2010

Re:

Jeff Kightlinger, General Manager Metropolitan Water District of Southern California P.O. Box 54153 Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

MWD's Proposed Budget for 2010/11 - OPPOSE

MEMBER AGENCIES

Carlsbad Municipal Water District

City of Del Mar

City of Escondido

City of National City

City of Oceanside

City of Poway

City of San Diego

Fallbrook Public Unlity District

Helix Water District

Lakeside Water District

Olivenhain Municipal Water District

Otay Water District

Padre Dam Municipal Water District

Camp Pendleton

Rainbow Municipal Water District

The state of the s

Municipal Water District

Rincon del Diablo Municipal Water District

San Dieguita Water District

Santa Fe Irrigation District

South Bay Irrigation District

Vallecitos Water District

Valley Center Municipal Water District

Vista Irrigation District

Yuima Municipal Water District

OTHER

REPRESENTATIVE

County of San Diego

Dear General Manager Kightlinger:

The Water Authority delegates wish to be formally on record as opposing staff's recommended budget for 2010/11 for the reasons described in this letter.

We appreciate Business and Finance Committee Chairman Grunfeld's efforts in conducting three budget workshops, but are disappointed that staff has not included in its final proposed budget any of the measures suggested by members of the board to reduce expenditures.

During the March 23 budget workshop, the consultant jointly retained by the Water Authority, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, City of Long Beach, and Calleguas Municipal Water District presented budget and rate scenarios illustrating that MWD could limit its current rate increase to 5%, followed by 3-6% rate increases over the next four years – and at the same time preserve MWD's debt ratios and reserves to adhere to MWD board policies. Our consultant showed that rate increases could be held at these levels by prioritizing and deferring capital projects and reducing pay-as-you-go funding. Under this scenario, all other MWD budget assumptions and expenditures would remain as proposed by staff.

Given the useful life of most MWD facilities, it is very hard to understand why MWD's capital improvement program schedule could not be adjusted to respond to the dire economic situation facing our Southern California cities, counties and ratepayers. The argument that we should spend more money now because the economy is so bad is misguided. Building capital projects before they are needed will also reduce funds available at the local level to implement water conservation and develop sorely needed local water supply reliability projects.

William "Bud" Pocklington

For these reasons, we cannot support the budget that has been proposed by staff.

Sincerely,

cc: MWD Board of Directors

SDCWA Board of Directors

A public agency providing a safe and reliable water supply to the San Diego region

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER